

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-17912-2019

Date of decision:12.08.2021

Kawaljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Reply, by way of an affidavit dated 14.10.2019 of Assistant Commissioner of Police, East Amritsar City, filed in the Registry, is taken on record.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.7 dated 02.02.2018 registered under Section 379-B IPC at Police Station Maqboolpura, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

On 10.05.2019, when this case was taken up for hearing for the first time, none had appeared on behalf of petitioner. Today also, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

As per the allegations contained in the FIR, on 02.02.2018 at about 04.30 pm, complainant Payal alias Norina was going from her house to Ram Talai Chowk in a rickshaw and when she reached near Dr. O.P. Sharma's hospital, one motorcyclist came from behind and snatched her purse and then said motorcyclist sped away. The complainant raised an

alarm and in the meantime the motorcyclist, who had snatched the purse of the complainant, lost his control over the motorcycle and it hit against the electricity pole and then said motorcyclist fell on the ground. He was apprehended at the spot by the passersby and the snatched purse was also recovered from his possession. The said motorcyclist, who was apprehended at the spot, was the petitioner. Thus, the petitioner was apprehended red handed while snatching the purse of the complainant.

Learned State counsel points out that the petitioner is a habitual offender as he is involved in five other cases, out of which he was convicted in FIR No.466 dated 30.12.2015 registered under Sections 379-B and 34 IPC.

I have heard learned State counsel.

As noticed above, the petitioner is a habitual offender being involved in five other cases, out of which he was convicted in one case under Section 379-B and 34 IPC. This fact clearly shows his criminal antecedents and if enlarged on bail, there are chances that he may again commit such offences. Thus, he is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Dismissed.

12.08.2021

ishwar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No