

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5259-2021

Date of decision : 8.6.2021

Rajinder

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Chander Shekhar Singhal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus
directing official respondents to get the detenue(s), mentioned in para No. 4
of the petition, released from the illegal detention of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his argument to the
extent that District Magistrate, SAS Nagar, Mohali be deputed to decide the
case and the present petition be disposed of with a direction to respondent
No.2 to treat this petition as a complaint under the Bonded Labour System
(Abolition) Act, 1976 and take immediate action in accordance with law
within a stipulated period.

A Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 32 of 2013, titled
'Murti versus The State of Punjab and others', has held as under:

“It may be mentioned here that the allegations of the appellant
in the writ petition are that the alleged detenues mentioned in
para No.3 of the writ petition who are working as labourers at
the brick kiln of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 are being kept as

bonded labours. There can indeed be no doubt that if a labourer has been detained as bonded labour, it amounts to an offence under Sections 16 & 17 of the Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976. We, however, clarify that the aforesaid observation does not mean that the allegations levelled by the appellant have been accepted. Suffice it to observe that under the Act, the District Magistrate is under statutory obligation to hold a fact finding enquiry as and when a complaint alleging violation of the provisions of Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976 is received. Since the appellant in the instant case has specifically averred that the persons mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition have been detained as bonded labourers, we allow this appeal and set aside/modify the order dated 9.1.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge to the extent that the petitioner's writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Sangrur, to treat this writ petition as a complaint under the 1976 Act and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order alongwith a copy of the writ petition.”

Accordingly, this Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to treat this petition as a complaint under the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976 and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the writ petition.

June 8, 2021

Paritosh Kumar

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No