

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5316-2021

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 11, 2021

PRIYANKA AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. MANU LOONA, ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONERS.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 10, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners fell in love with each other and decided to marry, but the private respondents, who are the parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1 opposed their relationship. Therefore, on 7.6.2021, the petitioners solemnized marriage at Jai Maa Kali Dukh Niwaran Dera, Fazilka as per Hindu rites. He submits that the petitioners have apprehension that the private respondents will implicate them in a false case, therefore, they sent representation dated 7.6.2021 (Annexure P-5) to The Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, but no action has been taken upon the same. He prays for issuance of necessary directions.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and perusing

the case file, this Court is of the opinion that the representation submitted is vague and does not reveal the manner or mode of alleged threat. Even if it is assumed that the private respondents may give a complaint against the petitioners, it cannot be construed as a threat, as they are also within their rights to avail a remedy in law.

Considering the above, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

June 11, 2021
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No