

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M No.22746 of 2021
Date of Decision: 29.09.2021

Veena Rani @ Reena Rani

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.96 dated 29.04.2021 registered under Sections 323, 341, 379-B, 506, 148, 149 IPC, at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

On 18.06.2021, following order was passed:-

"Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.96 dated 29.04.2021 registered under Sections 323, 341, 379-B, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

allegations alleged by the complainant against the petitioner are in fact false and is result of his own nefarious intention which he was keeping towards the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant was harassing the petitioner and now, she has been involved in the present FIR so as to put pressure upon her. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that even as per the allegations, a simple injury has been attributed to the petitioner and the petitioner, who is a lady, is seeking the benefit of anticipatory bail especially as she is ready to join the investigation and cooperate with the same.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State concedes that the allegation alleged against the petitioner is of inflicting the simple injuries.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is attributed simple injury and nothing is to be recovered from her and purpose of investigation can be achieved if the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to cooperate as she has undertaken before this Court that the petitioner will join the investigation and cooperate.

Petitioner is directed to join the investigation forthwith.

In the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to his/her satisfaction subject to the following conditions:

- i) That she shall make herself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.*
- ii) That she shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing the said facts to the Court or to any police officer.*
- iii) That she shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.*

iv) That she shall abide by all the conditions as enshrined under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Adjourned to 29.09.2021.

It is, however, made clear that after the petitioner join the investigation, in case any incriminating material comes against the petitioner, the respondent-State will be at liberty to file appropriate application seeking the custody of the petitioner in case, the same is needed.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Investigating Officer, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 20.06.2021 and she is no more required for further investigation in the case.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 18.06.2021 is made absolute. Petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so and she shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

29.09.2021
D.Bansal/Anita

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No