

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5234-2021

Date of decision : 9.6.2021

Jyoti and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Karandeep Singh Dargan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

Petitioners allege that both of them are major and they got
married on 6.6.2021 against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 who are
related to petitioner No.1.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the aforesaid private
respondents have threatened to cause harm to both the petitioners and in this
regard, representation (Annexure P-4) was given on 6.6.2021 to respondent
No.2 but till date no action has been taken by the concerned authority in this
regard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh, accepts notice
on behalf of the State of U.T., Chandigarh. He has placed reliance on the
copy of statement of respondent No.5 (mother of petitioner No.1) which was
recorded by the police. Same has been received through e-mail and is taken

and take decision on the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In view of above, without going into merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 6.6.2021 (Annexure P-4) and to provide appropriate protection to the petitioners if so warranted. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

June 9, 2021

Paritosh Kumar

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No