

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5230-2021

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 11, 2021

SIMRANPREET KAUR & ANOTHER ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. R.S. SIDHU, ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONERS.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 7, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners fell in love with each other and decided to marry. However, the private respondents, who are the parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1 opposed their relationship as they wanted to solemnize her marriage with a boy of their choice. Therefore, on 1.6.2021, the petitioners solemnized marriage at Gurudwara Sahib Baba Jeevan Singh Ji, Mansa as per Sikh rites. As per the pleadings, the petitioners have apprehension that the private respondents will implicate them in a false case, therefore, they sent representation dated 2.6.2021 (Annexure P-7) to The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, but no action has been taken upon the same. He prays for issuance of necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel stated that the private respondents visited house of the petitioner No.2 with police officials and made enquiry about the whereabouts of the petitioners.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and perusing the case file, this Court is of the opinion that the representation submitted by the petitioners is vague and does not reveal the manner and mode of alleged threat. According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the private respondents have approached the police, therefore, it is clear that they have adopted a lawful course to redress their own grievance against the petitioners and it cannot be construed as threat.

Resultantly, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

June 11, 2021
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No