

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5264-2021

Date of Decision: 09.06.2021

Kamaljit Kaur and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Pawan K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

By means of this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 4 to 9 not to interfere in their happy and peaceful married life and issuance of directions to official respondents No.1 to 3 not to register any false case against petitioner No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners fell in love with each other and developed relations, therefore, they decided to marry, but their proposal was opposed by the parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1 Kamaljit Kaur i.e. respondent Nos.4 to 9. According to him, the petitioners are receiving threats from the private respondents, as they have solemnized marriage on 27.06.2020 against their wishes. He has drawn the attention of the Court to the representation dated 01.06.2021 (Annexure P-5) submitted by petitioner No.1 to Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala and contended that no action has been taken by the officer so far. He prays for issuance of necessary directions.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court

finds that the alleged marriage was performed by the petitioners almost a year back and the apprehension of threat has been expressed by way of a representation dated 01.06.2021 (Annexure P-5). The said representation was sent through post on 02.06.2021 and the sequence of events pleaded by the petitioners do not seem to be probable, therefore, the prayer made by the learned counsel for issuance of directions to Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into their representation is also not worth acceptance, as their representation also lacks necessary particulars regarding manner and mode of alleged threat.

Resultantly, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

09.06.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes	No
Yes	No