

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

CWP-10776-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.09.2021

SUKHWINDER SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.
 Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

On 28.06.2021, learned counsel representing the petitioner did not come forward to press this petition. In the interest of justice, the case was adjourned to today.

Called twice. Learned counsel representing the petitioner is, once again, not present.

With the able assistance of learned State counsel, this Bench has gone through the writ petition. The petitioner who is a elected Sarpanch, has been placed under suspension vide an order dated 19.04.2021 passed by the Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Department. The petitioner has also assailed the correctness of order by which Administrator has been appointed.

The order of suspending the petitioner passed by the Director is appealable under Section 20(6) of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994. The Administrator has been appointed, therefore, which is in consequence

of the order of suspension.

As the petitioner has an effective alternative statutory remedy of appeal, consequently, there is no occasion to entertain the writ petition.

Disposed of with liberty to file the alternative remedy, if so advised.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

09.09.2021

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE