

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22388-2021
Date of decision: 26.10.2021**

Akash and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. K. L. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.110 dated 28.02.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324 and 506 of the IPC at Police Station City Jind, District Jind to which Section 326 of the IPC was added lateron.

The operative part of the order dated 07.06.2021, vide which the petitioners have been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the injury inviting the offence punishable under Section 326 IPC is attributed to coaccused Aman and no specific role has been attributed to the petitioners. He has further placed reliance upon the order dated 26.05.2021 (Annexure P-1), whereby accused Reena was granted the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Notice of motion for 14.07.2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, in pursuance to the order dated 07.06.2021, have already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Vijender, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners, vide order dated 07.06.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

26.10.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No