

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

**CRM-M-22231-2021
Decided on : 30.06.2021**

Amit Malik

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Ranjana Shahi, Addl. AG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Chunni Lal.

Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate,
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 129, dated 22.06.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 452, 307 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Chhappar, District Yamuna Nagar.

On a pointed query put to learned counsel for the petitioner as to what was the substantial change in circumstances pursuant to dismissal of the earlier petition on 28.01.2021, he has submitted that the co-accused has since been extended the concession of bail by the trial Court vide order dated 19th March, 2021 (Annexure P-4).

Learned State counsel on the other hand has apprised the Court that the petitioner is a man of criminal antecedents and he is involved in as many as 10 other criminal cases, out of which he stands convicted 04 cases.

It has been further submitted that in the aforementioned circumstances, the petitioner cannot claim parity with the co-accused, who has since been extended the concession of bail.

Heard.

Prima facie, there are serious allegations levelled against the petitioner. Besides this, keeping in view the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, he does not deserve the concession of regular bail at this stage.

Petition stands dismissed. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

June 30, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*