

Date of Decision: 15.12.2021

...Petitioner

Versus

...Respondents

Present : Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to the filing of the writ petition, name of the petitioner was recommended for appointment by respondent No.3 and pursuant thereto the petitioner was appointed as Female Constable (GD) in Haryana Police and that in the circumstances he does not press the writ petition and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous, while granting liberty to the petitioner to move a representation to the competent authority for grant of seniority as per her merit ranking and the same be directed to be considered and decided by the competent authority in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

2. The same is not opposed to by the learned State counsel.

3. In view of the position noted above, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous while granting liberty to the petitioner to move representation before the competent authority for grant of seniority and consequential benefits as per her merit ranking, within

ten days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the competent authority is directed to consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, within three months from the date of receipt of representation.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

15.12.2021
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No