

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

117

**CRR (F)-53-2021**

Date of decision : 19.02.2021.

Kehar Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Kulwant Kaur

.. Respondent

**CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)**

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner-husband has challenged the order dated 27.01.2021 passed by the Family Court whereby he has been directed to pay maintenance for a sum of ₹18,000/- per month to the respondent-wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the order was passed *ex parte* and the petitioner-husband had earlier settled the matter with the respondent-wife whereby he was paying a sum of ₹5,000/- per month as maintenance to her. He also contends that the maintenance is on the higher side.

In response to a query of this Court, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to dispute that the petitioner is drawing a sum of ₹48,000/- as monthly pension. He also owns 2½ acres of agriculture land. The respondent is 67 years of age and does not have any other source of income. It has been noticed by the Family Court that the petitioner was drawing a sum of ₹47,354/- per month as pension w.e.f. November, 2019. The pension of the

-----

petitioner would have increased by now with the enhancement of dearness allowance and he would be getting ₹50,000/- as gross monthly pension. Therefore, the maintenance @ ₹18,000/- which has been awarded to the respondent-wife cannot be held to be excessive which would warrant interference by this Court while exercising revisional jurisdiction.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)  
JUDGE

**February 19, 2021**  
sonia gugnani

|                           |   |               |
|---------------------------|---|---------------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No        |
| Whether Reportable        | : | <b>Yes/No</b> |