

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**1. CRM-M-22215-2021 (O&M)**

**Parvesh @ Shunty**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**...Respondent**

---

**2. CRM-M-26313-2021 (O&M)**

**Deepu Verma**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**...Respondent**

**Date of decision: 29.09.2021**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-22215-2021.

Ms. Kanika Ahuja, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-26313-2021.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhishek Sanghi, Advocate  
for the complainant.

(Through video conferencing)

\*\*\*\*\*

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)**

This common order shall dispose of above noted two petitions  
as they arise out of the same FIR.

Prayer in these petitions, filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., is for

grant of regular bail to petitioners Parvesh @ Shunty and Deepu Verma in case FIR No. 80 dated 06.02.2021, registered under Sections 148, 149, 282, 285, 323, 427, 506, 387, 452, 307, 75 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Krishna Gate, Thanesar, Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the FIR was registered on the complainant given by Gursharan @ Anshul with the allegations that petitioners and others came to the house of one Vipin Mishra and demanded Rs. 50,000/-. It is further stated that earlier also, the accused persons have taken money from the complainant but they never returned the same and for this reason, the complainant refused to give them any further money. Due to this, the accused persons caused injuries to complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submit that the matter has been compromised between the parties and a petition, bearing CRM-M-10374-2021 has already been filed before this Court seeking quashing of the present FIR on the basis of the said compromise, in which the parties have been directed to get their statement recorded and today itself is the date before the trial Court/Illaua Magistrate for recording the statement of the parties as the statement of the Investigating Officer has already been recorded.

Learned State counsel, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position that the case is fixed today itself for recording the statement of the parties in support of the compromise entered into between them.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the aforesaid facts and

also in view of the fact that petitioners are in judicial custody of about four months, the instant petitions are allowed. Petitioners Parvesh @ Shunty and Deepu Verma are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate concerned.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

29.09.2021

*Waseem Ansari*

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*