

CRM-M-22168-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22168-2021

Date of decision: 22.07.2021

Manraj @ Manroj Singh @ Mauji

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Balbir Singh Sewak, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.21 dated 25.01.2021, registered at Police Station Samrala, District Khanna, under Sections 307, 341, 353, 186, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of no injury; that the petitioner has been in custody since 01.05.2021, that co-accused, Balwinder Singh stands granted *ad interim* pre-arrest bail, vide order dated 06.07.2021 passed in CRM-M-25454-2021; that co-accused Sunil Kumar @ Bhawan @ Bachi stands granted the pre-arrest bail, vide order dated 13.07.2021 passed in CRM-M-19163-2021 and that co-accused, Amandeep Singh @ Babbu stands granted regular bail, vide order dated 29.06.2021 passed in CRM-M-20876-2021 by this Court. On this

CRM-M-22168-2021

premise, learned counsel prays that the petitioner be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner and the co-accused had ganged up on the complainant with swords and baseball after having waylaid him.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Although challan stands presented, yet the charges are to be framed. Co-accused have been granted the benefit of anticipatory and regular bail, as noticed above. The petitioner has been in custody since 01.05.2021. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon, especially due to Covid-19. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

22.07.2021

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No