

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-23987 of 2021
Date of Decision: July 16, 2021**

Ram Parkash ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Ms. Aruna Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through Video conferencing on account of outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

The allegations against petitioner Ram Parkash in this second regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 10 dated 21.01.2019 under Sections 323, 324 and 307 IPC and later on added Section 302 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi, the first one having been dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 04.08.2020 are as follows:-

“Petitioner Ram Parkash on 19.01.2019 attacked his wife Ram Pyari by means of iron rod leading to her

death and registration of the present case on 21.01.2019 on the statement of Rajesh son of the deceased.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is behind the bars and has sought to take refuge of mental unsoundness of the petitioner and the fact that the trial is not likely to be accomplished in the near future.

The learned State counsel has strongly opposed the relief on account of there being 07 injuries on the person of the deceased and in view of the heinousness of the offence sought dismissal of the same.

At this stage, when the Court was not inclined to grant the bail, learned counsel for the petitioner has made statement withdrawing the present petition.

Allowed to do so.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

July 16, 2021

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)

amit rana

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No