

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108)

CRR no.600 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.08.2021

Bhanu Partap and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by way of video conferencing.

On June 30, 2021, the following order had been passed:-

“Case heard by way of video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would address arguments as to why the charge framed by the trial court under Section 307 of the IPC would not sustain (as regards framing of the charge itself, though obviously it is still to be proved by way of evidence whether eventually it would hold or not).

In view of the fact that even as per the FIR, the petitioners all attacked the complainant and his father with a sickle, iron rods and baseball bat, with even an attack on the head with iron rods on the complainant, as also a *datar* (sickle) blow on the head of the complainant.

Adjourned to 16.07.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would place on record the MLRs in respect of the injuries received by those injured, allegedly at the hands of the petitioners.”

Thereafter, on July 16, 2021, learned counsel for the petitioner

had sought an adjournment, with hearing in the matter adjourned till today.

Today, though CRM no.23766 of 2021 has been filed by the petitioner seeking to place on record the medico legal report in respect of the injuries stated to have been attained by the complainant, Abhishek, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to pursue the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with therefore CRM no.23766 of 2021 disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to take all pleas in his defence before the learned trial court.

I do not see why any such liberty has to be taken from any court because no accused person can be stopped from taking any legal pleas as are available to him/her before the trial court, and consequently no such liberty is required to be given.

13.08.2021
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No