

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1) CRM-M-17263-2019 (O&M)

Amit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(2) CRM-M-8273-2020 (O&M)

Ankit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Date of decision: 08.04.2021

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Vinod Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-17263-2019.

Mr. Sansar Kundu, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-8273-2020.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate for the complainant.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

During the course of arguments, learned State counsel, on

instructions from Constable Kapil has informed that the prosecution has examined all the witnesses except one PW, who has been summoned through warrants of arrest for 16.04.2021.

It being so, the trial is at the fag end and the case is going to be decided very soon. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any reason to grant regular bail to the petitioners during pendency of the trial. The petitions so moved are accordingly disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to make earnest efforts to conclude the trial expeditiously by giving short adjournments, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of the order in that Court.

08.04.2021

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No