

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21819 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION: 31.08.2021

Gayyur Ali & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

**Present:- Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
For the petitioners.**

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.(ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No. 392 dated 19.11.2020, registered under Sections 148, 149, 285, 323, 379-B and 427 IPC at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat.
2. While granting interim bail to the petitioners, following order was passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court on 03.06.2021: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are being falsely implicated in FIR No. 392 dated 19.11.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 285, 323, 379-B, 427 IPC, registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat. Petitioners, it is submitted, were not named in the FIR but find mention in the supplementary statement of the complainant, recorded after nearly three months of the occurrence on 08.02.2021. Even in the supplementary statement, petitioners it is stated, are not attributed with any specific role.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no more required for further investigation.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Shiv Krishan, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 03.06.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

31.08.2021
Jiten Sharma

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No