

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(240) CRM-M-17917-2020
Date of Decision : September 03, 2021

Rajesh Kumar .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab .. Respondent

(2) CRM-M-8806-2020

Raj Kumar .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab .. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate, for
Mrs. Anupam Bhanot, Advocate, for the petitioner,
(in CRM-M-17917-2020).

Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-8806-2020).

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

By this common order, two petitions are being disposed of as these petitions are arising out of the same FIR No.143 dated 13.08.2019 registered under Sections 302 and 34 IPC at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsels for the petitioners submits that they do not wish to press these petitions, at this stage and they will be satisfied, in case, the complainant is examined as next witness so that after examination of the material witnesses, the petitioner can pray for the grant of regular bail.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State does not raise any objection for examining the complainant as the next witness, if he has already not been examined.

In view of the above, the present petitions having been withdrawn qua the prayer for the grant of regular bail and a direction is issued to the trial Court to examine the complainant as the next witness and conclude the said testimony as expeditiously as possible, if not already examined.

September 03, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No