

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-21767 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: June 01, 2021

Paramjit Singh @ Pamma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.131 dated 17.05.2021, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Nawanshahar, District SBS Nagar, Nawanshahar.

2. Counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner herein has been falsely implicated in the present case, as the allegations as set out in the FIR are not sustainable. It is argued that the petitioner never tried to grab the plot of Amarjit Singh by preparing forged documents. It is also contended that the alleged agreement to sell does not bear the signature or thumb impression of the petitioner, nor the petitioner is beneficiary of the

said agreement to sell.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At this stage, Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG Punjab, who is appearing through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. He opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, while contending that the petitioner herein impersonated himself as Amarjit Singh, who is co-owner of the property in dispute and also put his thumb impressions on the agreement to sell dated 26.08.2019 pretending to be of Amarjit Singh. It is also argued that the petitioner has also got issued an Aadhar Card in the name of complainant Amarjit Singh by putting his own thumb impression.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the pleadings of the case.

6. As per the FIR, there are serious allegations that have been levelled against the petitioner herein that he impersonated himself as Amarjit Singh and entered into an agreement to sell dated 26.08.2019 with Avtar Singh and also got issued a forged Aadhar Card in the name of Amarjit Singh by appending his own thumb impressions. As noticed by the Addl. Sessions Judge, SBS Nagar, the thumb impressions appearing on the agreement to sell dated 26.08.2019 as of Amarjit Singh and the Aadhar Card got issued in the name of Amarjit singh have been got compared from Finger Print Bureau, Phillaur with the thumb impressions of the petitioner, as obtained by the police and the said Bureau has reported that the said thumb impressions are identical or are of one and the same person. So, the matter needs to be thoroughly investigated, for which custodial

interrogation of the petitioner is required. Under these circumstances, once custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required for a result oriented investigation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of the above, the instant petition is hereby dismissed.

June 01, 2021
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No