

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP No.10735 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION : 30th JUNE, 2021

Dr. Sapna Malhotra

.... Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

=====

In virtual Court

=====

Present : Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Jain, Senior Advocate with
Mrs. Madhu Dayal, Advocate for respondents No.1, 3 & 4.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order No.PGGCG-42/EC-1/2021/743-48 dated 26.02.2021 (Annexure P-3), whereby the petitioner has been erroneously ordered to be relieved on attaining the age of 58 years by applying the redundant and outlived notification dated 13.01.1992 (Annexure P-4), which stands annulled and superseded by subsequent superior legislation in the for of UGC Regulations, 2010 and 2018 mandating the retirement age as 65 years for all teachers in centrally funded institutions as held by this Court in CWP No.20447 of 2020 titled Dr. Joginder Pal Singh & others Versus Union of India & others, vide judgment dated 01.03.2021

in case of similarly placed Professors of Government College of Arts and Government College of Architecture UT Chandigarh, with certain other prayers.

A perusal of the paper book shows that matter comes under the jurisdiction of Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT), in the first instance. Hence, this court is not inclined to interfere in the present petition. However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the CAT if so desires.

Dismissed with the liberty as aforesaid.

30th JUNE, 2021
‘raj’

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>