

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

**CRM-M-22423-2021  
Decided on : 09.12.2021**

Manpreet Singh alias Manna

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

**PRESENT:** Ms. Ragini Kukar, Advocate for  
Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vibhu Walia, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking quashing of FIR No. 49, dated 10.03.2021 (annexed as Annexure P-1), under Sections 376, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar Rural, and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that it was on account of some misunderstanding between the petitioner and respondent No.2, the FIR in question came to be registered, wherein, allegations were levelled by respondent No.2 against the petitioner of developing forcible physical relations with her, on the pretext of marriage. Learned counsel submits that soon after the registration of the FIR in question, the misunderstanding between the parties stood removed and all differences ironed out.

Learned counsel further submits that subsequently on 12<sup>th</sup> May, 2021, the parties had solemnized their marriage at Gurudwara Janam Asthan Baba Budha Singh, Kathu Nangal, Amritsar (Punjab) with the blessings and in the presence of their respective family members. In support, learned counsel has invited the attention of this Court to

Annexure P-3, which is their marriage certificate dated 17.05.2021. Learned counsel further submits that ever since their marriage, both the parties are now living together happily as husband and wife. A prayer has, therefore, been made for quashing of the aforementioned FIR on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the parties.

Mr. Vibhu Walia, Advocate, who has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 (complainant), does not dispute the submissions made by the counsel opposite as also the factum of the parties having solemnized marriage with each other.

On a pointed query put to learned counsel as to what was the stage of trial, he has apprised the Court that investigation is still underway and final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has not yet been presented before the trial Court.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the allegations levelled in the FIR in question, this Court would not be inclined to exercise its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR in question, which has been registered under Sections 376, 506 of IPC, at this stage, more so, as already noticed earlier, since the matter is still under investigation.

Petition stands dismissed.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

**December 09, 2021**

*J.Ram*

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable: Yes/No*