110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.5029 of 2021
Date of Decision:-28th June, 2021.

Santosh

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

*****

Present:- Mr. Pawandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court with a prayer for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect her life and liberty as she apprehends threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9 and she has also prayed for directing the official respondents to decide the representation Annexure P-7, as already moved by her for seeking the redressal of her afore-referred grievance, and she has averred that respondents No.4 and 5 happen to be her son and daughter-in-law, respectively whereas respondents No.6 to 9 are the relatives of these private respondents and she is being harassed by them.

Ms. Samina Dhir, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case

YAG DUTT
2021.06.28 15:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
PHHC

in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police and the SHO concerned to look into her said application/ representation Annexure P-7 and to take appropriate action on the same.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter in hand, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Zirakpur (should have been mentioned as Mohali) and respondent No.3-SHO, Police Station Dhakoli, Zirakpur are hereby directed to look into the afore-mentioned representation/application of the petitioner, i.e. Annexure P-7 and if it is found that the petitioner genuinely deserves any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

June 28, 2021
Yag Dutt

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*

YAG DUTT
2021.06.28 15:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
PHHC