

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**124**

**Civil Writ Petition No.10679 of 2021 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: June 28, 2021**

Bharat Bhatti

**.....Petitioner.**

**VERSUS**

The Office of District and Sessions Judge, Amritsar

**.....Respondent.**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G. S. SANDHAWALIA**

Present: Mr. Joy, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

(The proceedings are being conducted through video  
conferencing, as per instructions)

\*\*\*\*\*

**G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J.**

Civil writ petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking details of marks obtained by the candidates in the interview for the post of Process Server advertised by learned District and Sessions Judge, Amritsar as per public notice dated 26.08.2020. After the interview, which the petitioner attended on 25.01.2021, the result was made public for the selected candidates only and no cut off list allegedly or the marks obtained by the candidates were disclosed.

In the opinion of this Court, petitioner has alternate efficacious remedy available under the Right to Information Act, 2005 seeking the above said material and a writ of mandamus would not lie at the first instance. Merely on the ground that representation of the petitioner is

pending with the respondent, would not entitle him for the same relief.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to seek the alternate remedy available to the petitioner, in accordance with law.

Petition is disposed off with the aforesaid liberty.

**( G. S. SANDHAWALIA )**  
**JUDGE**

**June 28, 2021**

*Sachin M.*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* *Yes/No*

*Whether Reportable:* *Yes/No*