

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 10628 of 2021
Date of Decision: 03.6.2021**

M/s Amar Roller Flour Mills

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present : Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional A.G., Haryana.
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Vide NIT dated 29.1.2021 (P-1), tenders were invited for transportation and doorstep delivery of goods and commodities under PDS, Non-PDS or other welfare schemes to fair price shops in different Sub-Divisions in Panchkula from FCI/Agency/CONFED godown/Flour Mills.

The District Level Tender Committee, upon technical evaluation of the bid submitted by the petitioner, found it to be non-responsive and vide order dated 19.2.2021 (P-4), disqualified the petitioner. Resultantly, the petitioner had approached this Court, vide CWP No. 9461 of 2021, which, on the statement of counsel for the petitioner, was dismissed as withdrawn on 5.5.2021, as the petitioner intended to pursue its representation that was pending consideration before the authorities.

The limited grievance with which the petitioner has again approached this Court is: even though the matter is time sensitive, the representations submitted by the petitioner continue to await consideration by the authorities. Even post passing of the order dated 5.5.2021 (*ibid*), the petitioner had represented to the respondents on 7.5.2021 (P-21), but to no avail.

Learned counsel for the respondents, who is present upon being served with an advance copy of the petition, submits that even though the tender process is complete, but a formal contract between the authorities and the successful tenderer is yet to be executed. However, he submits that authorities shall consider and deal with the representation submitted by the petitioner and pass necessary orders within two days from today, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

In the wake of the above, we do not consider it expedient to delve any further into the merits. The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statement of the learned Additional Advocate General.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

03.6.2021
AK Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No