

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

I) CRM-M-21716-2021 (O&M)

Ravi @ Vicky ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

II) CRM-M-23141-2021 (O&M)

Rajender Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

Date of Decision:-12.8.2021

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-21716-2021.

Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-23141-2021.

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Krishan.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of the above mentioned two petitions filed on behalf of petitioners Ravi @ Vicky and Rajender Kumar seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.54 dated 23.1.2021 at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa under Sections 489-A, 489-B and 489-C of Indian Penal Code.
2. The allegations, in nutshell, are that a secret information was received by the police to the effect that Rajender Kumar (petitioner in CRM-M-23141-2021) had sent Ravi @ Vicky (petitioner in CRM-M-21716-2021) to collect counterfeit notes from Jagdish. It is further alleged that pursuant to receipt of the aforesaid secret information Ravi @ Vicky was apprehended by the police and from whose possession counterfeit currency notes of the denomination of ₹500/- each for an amount of ₹71,000/- were recovered. Ravi @ Vicky, upon his interrogation, is alleged to have suffered a disclosure statement that Rajender Kumar had sent him to collect the counterfeit currency notes from Ravi @ Vicky. It was thereafter that Rajender Kumar was arrested by the police and from whose possession counterfeit currency notes in respect of an amount of ₹4,000/- were recovered.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case and that there is nothing on record to suggest that they had any knowledge about the currency notes being fake and that there is no allegation that they had themselves prepared the counterfeit currency notes.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that since a huge quantity of counterfeit currency notes have been recovered from the

petitioners, no case for grant of bail is made out. Learned State counsel has, however, informed that the petitioners have been behind bars since the last about 7 months and that challan already stands presented. It has also been informed that the petitioners are not involved in any other case.

5. I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without commenting anything as regards merits of the case and while noticing that the petitioners have been behind bars for a substantial period of 7 years, both the petitions are hereby accepted and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

12.8.2021

pankaj

**(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No