

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

249

CRM-M-24981-2021

Date of decision : 20.08.2021.

Shyam Chand and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Balvinder Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Rubai J. Singh, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.182 dated 12.08.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 379-B and 506 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Chhansa, District Faridabad, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that it is alleged in the FIR that ₹500/- were snatched from the complainant. He further contends that Section 379-B IPC has been omitted and the injury for which offence under Section 325 IPC has been added is on the finger of the injured. He, however, contends that the matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2. He also contends that the petitioners are not involved in any other criminal case.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 06.07.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad dated 09.08.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The allegations in the FIR are that ₹500/- were snatched from the complainant. Section 379-B IPC has been omitted and the injury for which offence under Section 325 IPC has been added is on the finger of the injured. The matter has now been compromised. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.182 dated 12.08.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 379-B and 506 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Chhansa, District Faridabad, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 20, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No