

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

LPA No.497 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 2nd, 2021

PDM Religious & Educational Association and others

...Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. V.K. Sachdeva, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana
with Mr. Samarth Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents.

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

In the present appeal challenge is to the order dated 04.05.2021 passed by the learned Single Judge in *CWP No.8705 of 2021* titled as *PDM Religious and Educational Association and others Versus State of Haryana and others*, wherein the writ petition preferred by the appellants herein stands dismissed.

Having considered the submissions of the counsel for the appellants and the learned Advocate General, Haryana, this Bench is of the view that the appellants may be given a post decisional hearing by giving an opportunity to submit a representation followed by a personal hearing and a decision thereafter within some specified time.

Learned Advocate General, Haryana, has no objection to the said course being adopted.

In the light of the above, we dispose of this appeal by giving

liberty to the appellants to submit a detailed representation, which may be supported by documents, within a period of ten days.

On submission of the said representation, the competent authority shall give a personal hearing to the appellants through their representative(s) within a period of two weeks of such submission of the representation and final decision be taken within a period of five weeks from today in case the appellants submit a representation within the time stipulated.

It is made clear that the competent authority would not be influenced by the order which it had earlier passed nor should it take into consideration or being impressed by any observations/findings made in judgment, which is under challenge in this appeal.

During the pendency of the representation, if any submitted by the appellants and till a decision is taken thereon, no coercive action will be taken by the respondents against the appellants.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

July 2nd, 2021
Puneet

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No