

144

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21634-2021

Date of Decision: 02.07.2021

Radhika Pathak.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

...
(through video conferencing)

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of a direction to
respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on application dated 24.03.2021
(Annexure P1) submitted by the petitioner.

Learned counsel submits that vide application dated 24.03.2021
(Annexure P1) the respondent No.2 had been duly intimated about the
merciless beatings given to the petitioner by the private respondents.
However, the authorities concerned had failed to initiate any action against
the offenders. Learned counsel contends that despite respondent No.2
having been apprised about the commission of cognizable offence by the
private respondents, the official respondents had failed to proceed against
them under the provisions of law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Roopnagar, is directed to look into her grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the application dated 24.03.2021 (Annexure P1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.* 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

02.07.2021

rupi(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No