

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

(104+212)

CM-1186-CWP-2021 in/& CWP-9048-2020
Date of Decision: January 28, 2021

Joginder Pal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Sapehiya, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate, for respondents No.8 & 9.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

CM-1186-CWP-2021

Present application has been filed for placing on record the replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5 and 7 to 9.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, the same is allowed and replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5 and 7 to 9 is permitted to be taken on record.

CWP-9048-2020

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that keeping in view the report appended as Annexure R-5/2 dated 30.06.2020 which has been submitted after the filing of the writ petition,

the Sarpanch Veena Kumari has not been found in an illegal possession of Garha-Khad but according to the petitioner, the said report is not correct.

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly concedes that as the present writ petition involves the disputed question of facts and prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to avail an appropriate remedy for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner as raised in the present writ petition.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

January 28, 2021
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No