

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9033 of 2020

Date of decision: 13.01.2021

Dr. Nivesh Agrawal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain, DAG, Haryana for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Shreenath A. Khemka, Advocate and
Mr. Sumeet Singh, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that the impugned advertisement (Annexure P/6) has already been withdrawn by the State vide notice dated 23.12.2020, which fact is not disputed by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

That being so, the present writ petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

January 13, 2021

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No