

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4841-2021

Date of Decision: 27.05.2021

Gurpinder Singh and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

By means of this writ petition under Articles 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they have solemnized marriage on 24.05.2021 against the wishes of private respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the love affair of the petitioners started two years back, but the same was opposed by the parents and other relatives of Arshdeep Kaur i.e. respondent Nos.4 to 7, as they had turned down the proposal of their marriage. According to him, the petitioners are receiving threats from the private respondents, as they have solemnized marriage on 24.05.2021 against their wishes. He has drawn the attention of the Court to the representation dated 24.05.2021 (Annexure P-5) submitted by petitioner No.2 to Senior Superintendent of Police, (Rural), Amritsar and contended that no action has been taken by the officer so far. He prays for issuance of necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned

counsel that the petitioners have solemnized marriage on 24.05.2021 at

Gurudwara Dashmesh Pita Patshahi Dasvi, Village Singa Devi, Tehsil Kharar, Mohail and on the same day, they had sent representation (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, (Rural), Amritsar through private courier service and filed this petition on the next day. In the petition, neither any specific threat or allegation has been attributed to any of the respondents nor any convincing material has been brought on record to substantiate the ground. Admittedly, the private respondents were opposing the alliance of the petitioners, but previously in this regard no complaint was ever made to the police by the petitioners, against private respondents No.4 to 7.

The sequence of events pleaded by the petitioners do not seem to be probable, therefore, the prayer made by the learned counsel for issuance of directions to Senior Superintendent of Police, (Rural), Amritsar to look into their representation is also not worth acceptance, as their representation also lacks necessary particulars regarding manner and mode of alleged threat.

Resultantly, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

27.05.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes	No
Yes	No