

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21544-2021  
Date of decision :27.10.2021**

**Krishna**

**... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure by the petitioner, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.264 dated 25.09.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 302, 323, 325 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-7, IMT, District Gurugram.

2. Seen status report filed on behalf of the State in compliance of the earlier order passed on 01.09.2021 and also considered the own statement of the victim-Sunita (Annexure P-2) as also averment in the original FIR (Annexure P-1) from which it transpires that the role attributed to the present petitioner, who is a woman, is of having started a quarrel with the said Sunita and having hit her with kick and fist blows. The same has been duly corroborated in para 8 of the status report filed on behalf of the State in which it has been specifically mentioned that the role of the petitioner Krishna in the present case is that she, along with co-accused Geeta, initially started

quarrelling with Sunita, wife of the complainant, over the issue of making cow dung cakes. Further, during the fight, Krishna and Geeta gave fist and kick blows to Sunita. The petitioner Krishna also got demarcated the place of occurrence.

3. It has further been mentioned that the petitioner has not been found to be involved in any other case, as per available record.

4. In such circumstances considering the limited role attributed to the petitioner in the occurrence in question and the long detention undergone by her which exceeds one year since 27.09.2020. As such, without commenting any further on the merits of the present case as a whole, the prayer of the petitioner for regular bail is allowed and she is ordered to be released on bail, subject to appropriate terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

5. Disposed off.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE

**27.10.2021**  
JITESH (JTS)

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No**  
**Whether reportable:- Yes/No**