

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.34493 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:25.10.2021

(Heard through VC)

Jatin Narula

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harsh Bungar, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.17971 of 2021

Prayer in the application is to seek impleadment of the
applicant-Shikha Sandhu as respondent No.3.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed
and the applicant herein is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.3.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CRM-M No.34493 of 2018 (O&M)

This is a petition that has been filed for quashing of FIR No.42
dated 21.07.2018 registered under Section 328, 376, 506 IPC, Section 67 of
the IT Act and Section 6 of the POCSO Act at Police Station Women,
Sector 5, Panchkula on the basis of compromise arrived at between the
parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that

the petitioner and respondent No.3 knew each other and had developed a friendly relationship, however, on account of some misunderstanding, the instant FIR came to be registered. Now the matter has been compromised between the parties and therefore, prays for quashing of the instant FIR.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 would submit that the compromise has not fructified and therefore, the FIR cannot be quashed on the ground.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the instant petition and seeks to withdraw the same with liberty to file a fresh one, seeking quashing of the FIR on merits.

Ordered accordingly.

All the misc. applications stands disposed of.

October 25, 2021
Pankaj*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No