

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21291-2021

Date of decision-28.06.2021

Harkomalpreet Singh and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Virat Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 read with Section 438 Cr.P.C for issuance of direction to official respondents to serve a 10 days prior notice to the petitioners in term of Section 160 Cr.P.C in case of arrest, on the complaint moved by respondent No.4.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed that till date neither any complaint has been given by the wife of petitioner No.1 (respondent No.4) to the police nor any FIR has been registered. The petitioners have sought intervention of the Court on the basis of an apprehension of arrest which seems to be ill-founded. Merely because the wife has left the company of petitioner No.1, it does not necessarily mean that she would lodge a criminal case against the petitioner and his

mother.

In view of the above, no ground is made out for exercising the inherent powers under Section 482 read with Section 438 Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

28.06.2021

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No