

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21757-2021(O&M)

Date of decision: 29.06.2021

Juj Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.K.B.Raheja, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

This is sixth petition for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in a criminal case arising from FIR No.71 dated 11.06.2016 registered under Section 21, 29, 61 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran.

On 16.10.2020, while disposing of the fifth petition filed by the petitioner for grant of bail, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

This is 5th application for grant of bail to the petitioner-Juj Singh son of Mangat Singh, pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.71, dated 11.06.2016, registered under Section 21/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran.

As per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner was found in conscious possession of 2KG Heroin.

On 03.09.2020, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner disputed the correctness of the statement made by counsel appearing for the State that the petitioner, while in the jail, is also involved in FIR No.88 dated 03.05.2018, under Section 22/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has admitted that another case under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 has been registered against the petitioner.

Learned counsel has failed to draw attention of the court to any change in the circumstances.

Hence, dismissed.”

Sh. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab, appears for the State of Punjab and has informed the Court that except for the examination of a BSF official, the prosecution had already got recorded the evidence of the other prosecution witnesses and now the case is fixed for 05.07.2021.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, it is considered appropriate to direct the trial court to conclude the trial of the case positively within a period of three months. It shall be the responsibility of the prosecution to produce the BSF official who is required to be examined either on 05.07.2021 or on the next adjourned date, which would be in the month of July, 2021, itself.

Disposed of in above-mentioned terms.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

29.06.2021

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE