

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.4874 of 2021

Date of Decision: 28th May, 2021.

Manpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

By way of this petition, both the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in this petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 in this regard (actually the said representation Annexure P-4 is shown to have been moved by petitioner No.1 only for seeking protection for herself as well as for petitioner No.2).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, accepts the notice on behalf of these respondents.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation, i.e. Annexure P-4.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-4) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 28, 2021
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Note:	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
	<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>