

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 4777 of 2021 (O&M)  
DATE OF DECISION: 30.06.2021

Sidharth Arora .....Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

*(Heard through Video-Conferencing)*

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- Mr. BS Bhalla, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab  
for respondents No.1 to 3.

\*\*\*\*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)**

Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Advocate has joined the proceedings on behalf of the alleged detainee as well as respondents No.4 to 6 and Power of Attorney filed by him on their behalf separately are already available on the record.

**CRM-W No. 715 of 2021**

This application has been moved for placing the affidavit of the alleged detainee as well as Annexures A-1 to A-3 on the record.

Notice in the application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel accept the same. They have no objection in allowing this application.

Keeping in view the afore-said fact and the reasons as narrated in this application, the same is allowed and the said affidavit of the alleged

detenue and Annexures A-1 to A-3 are taken on the record.

**CRWP No. 4777 of 2021**

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner sought the relief of the issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus directing respondents No.4 to 6 to release the detenue named Gunit Bajaj, his wife or to produce her before this Court and he further prayed for appointing a Warrant Officer to conduct a roving inquiry at the houses of respondents No.4 to 7 or at any other place(s) as may be pointed out by him and to get the afore-named detenue released from the illegal custody of respondents No.4 to 7.

Vide order dated 24.05.2021, this Court had directed that a Warrant Officer be appointed for searching the alleged detenue at the addresses of respondents No.4 to 7 and in case, she was found to be in the illegal custody of these respondents, then, for getting her released.

The pasted envelope, purportedly containing the report of the Warrant Officer in compliance of the said order, has already been placed on the record. The same has been opened during the proceedings of this case and it is found to be containing the report of the Warrant Officer (along-with its two copies) as well as Annexures R-1 to R-4. As per the said report, the alleged detenue was not found present at the addresses as disclosed in this petition.

Today, the alleged detenue named Gunit Bajaj has also joined the proceedings through Cisco Webex System and she has been duly identified by her counsel Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Advocate. She submits that presently, she is residing in Bangalore at the address mentioned in her said

affidavit and she as well as the petitioner had mutually agreed to stay separately.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the alleged detenue and respondents No.4 to 6, have no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

|                           |                             |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <b>June 30, 2021</b>      | <b>(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)</b> |
| pooja                     | <b>JUDGE</b>                |
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No                      |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No                      |