

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20911-2021
Date of decision: 26.10.2021**

Reena**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana**...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. K. L. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.110 dated 28.02.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324 and 506 of the IPC at Police Station City Jind, District Jind to which Section 326 of the IPC was added lateron.

The operative part of the order dated 26.05.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case with a motive to harass and humiliate her. As per allegations made no specific weapon was shown in the hand of the petitioner and no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is alleged to have slapped and given kick blows to the complainant. Injury attracting Section 326 of the IPC is not attributed to petitioner. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G., Haryana has appeared and

accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State Counsel seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 14.07.2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 26.05.2021, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Vijender, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 26.05.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

26.10.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No