

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP-4851-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.05.2021

Ajrudeen and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Khalid, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioners-Ajrudeen and Nadima, stated to be aged 26 & 24 years respectively, have jointly filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that both the petitioners are major. He then submits that petitioner No.2 had earlier got married. But after divorce (in June 2018) petitioner No.2 has got married to petitioner No.1 on 10.03.2021. He further submits that in this regard, a detailed representation (Annexure P-4) was submitted to the Superintendent of Police, Palwal (respondent No.2).

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

While refraining from making any expression as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is **disposed of** with a direction that in case, the petitioners approach the police authorities concerned, with above representation (Annexure P-4) with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Palwal, District Palwal, Haryana (respondent No.2) as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Palwal, District Palwal, Haryana (respondent No.2) by the Registry through e-mail or any other mode, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously .

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards the validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

Ordered accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

27.05.2021

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No