

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-20783 of 2021

Date of Decision: June 30, 2021

Onkar Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.54 dated 14.03.2021 under Section 18(c) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on) registered at Police Station Siwan, District Kaithal.

As per the allegations in the FIR, on 14.03.2021 ASI Pardeep Kumar alongwith other police officials were in government vehicle No. HR-08W-5354 and were doing investigation of FIR No. 69 dated 13.03.2021, under Sections 18 C, 27A, 61 and 85 of NDPS Act, registered at SDU Kaithal where accused-Harvinder Singh Virk was arrested and during his interrogation accused-Harvinder Singh disclosed that he had sold 600 grams of Opium to his relative Saravjeet Singh @ Sarav and Jagdish @ DC. Thereafter Saravjeet Singh @ Sarav and Jagdish Singh were apprehended while driving the scooty and on search 150 grams of Opium was recovered from Jagdish Singh @ DC and 350 grams of opium was recovered from the

scooty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner had already been granted bail in case FIR No. 69 dated 13.03.2021 vide order dated 28.05.2021 passed in CRM-M-20781 of 2021. It is stated that petitioner is in custody since 22.04.2021 and no recovery has been effected from the petitioner. It is also stated that the investigation in the case is complete. The co-accused of the petitioner namely, Saravjeet Singh @ Savi and Jagdish @ DC have already been granted the concession of bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal vide order dated 12.05.2021.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case and in view of the fact that the investigation in the case is complete and trial will take a long time, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more. Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Petitioner-Onkar Lal be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

June 30 , 2021
rashmi

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking / Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes / No