

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CWP-10386-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.05.2021**

M/s Sai Sanitation	Versus	 Petitioner
Union of India and others		 Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present : Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the action of the respondents in waiving off only the ground rent and not passing any order with regard to container charges.

At the very outset, counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-17) moved to respondents No.3 and 4 with respect to aforesaid aspect is decided. He further states that almost a month has passed and continuing charges are levied upon the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Standing counsel for the Union of India has accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. He states that he would have no objection if a direction is issued to the competent authority to take a reasoned decision on the representation made by the petitioner. However, he points out that he is not aware that if the representation is available in the office and consequently states that the petitioner may be directed to move a fresh representation and the competent authority shall decide the same within a reasonable time.

We find this to be a fair request. Consequently, it is directed that in case any such representation is moved by the petitioner the competent authority shall decide the same within a period of 10 days thereafter by passing a speaking order thereon.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 27, 2021
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No