

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4242-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.03.2021**

Charno

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Waraich, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 to 10.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Prayer in the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 10.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the offer given by respondent No. 4-Vipin Kumar to his step-mother i.e. petitioner, is not acceptable.

Vide order dated 01.07.2020 passed by a Coordinate Bench, the District Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, was directed to call the parties to have a mediation, so that rights of the widow can be secured amicably.

In compliance thereof, the District Judge, Fatehgar Sahib, vide his report dated 25.08.2020 has submitted that the parties were called to explore the possibility of an amicable settlement and vide affidavit dated 19.08.2020, respondent No. 4 stated that he was ready to give half share out of the entire movable and immovable properties of Ramji @ Ramji Dass, to the petitioner and her children, but the petitioner sought time and the case was adjourned to 21.08.2020, that on the said date, the petitioner or her counsel did not appear and the case was adjourned to 25.08.2020 in the interest of justice, and that on 25.08.2020, respondent No. 4 vide another affidavit stated that they were ready to pay a sum of Rs. 10,000/- per month to his step mother-Charno-petitioner and her children, born out of the wedlock of Charno and Ramji @ Ramji Dass, but the petitioner, who was present along with her counsel, did not accept the same.

Even today, the offer made by respondent No. 4 extended to his step mother-petitioner has been declined by the learned counsel for the petitioner. He prays that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to raise all the pleas raised in the present petition before the appropriate Forum.

In view of the above, no further interference of this Court is called for and the present petition is dismissed.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to raise all the pleas before the appropriate Forum, in accordance with law.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

31.03.2021

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No