

Anjali Kumari and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sanjeev K.Virk, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

The petitioners claim to have married each other. However, this Court cannot form any opinion in this regard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a representation dated 19.05.2021 was made to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala (Annexure P-5) seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Amarjit Singh submits that the Deputy Superintendent of Police has recorded the statements of the parents of petitioner No.1 and they have stated that they have no objection, if their daughter stay with petitioner No.2-Arjun Kumar.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala to look into grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

Liberty is granted to the petitioners to approach the police authorities again, if the petitioners are facing any threat to their life and liberty from the respondents.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

04.06.2021
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No