

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21054 of 2021

Date of Decision: 02.07.2021

Bhushan Lal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh, AAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the State.

Heard.

Vide impugned order, while directing release of vehicle Car Hyundai I-20 bearing No. HR-02-AH-0711 on superdari to the applicant, Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana has asked for deposit of cash or to furnish bank guarantee in the sum of Rs. 7 lacs. The applicant is aggrieved of the aforesaid directions guarantee.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the direction appears to be harsh. Therefore, the instant petition is accepted. Resultantly, the impugned order is modified to the extent that instead of applicant furnishing cash or bank guarantee in the sum of Rs.7 lacs, he shall furnish surety in the like amount. The surety should be sound one, having sufficient proof of immovable properties to that extent, situated within the jurisdiction of the Court.

**[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU]
JUDGE**

July 02, 2021

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/no

Whether reportable?

Yes/no