

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

205

**CRM-M-20638-2021 (O & M)
Date of Decision: |August 02, 2021**

JASPAL SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.R.S. Waraich, Advocate
the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.P.Aulakh, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

On 24.05.2021, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following order:-

“Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the dispute is regarding the property of Kunda Singh (father) and the complainant namely Manjit Singh is the brother of the petitioner. The suicide has been committed by the wife of the complainant namely, Ramanjit Kaur and son- Gurkaran Singh, on account of the mutations entered in the name of the petitioner and Harwinder Singh, the other brother. It is submitted that there was a Will initially in favour of the present petitioner in the year 2009 which was revoked and thereafter, Harwinder Singh was also made

beneficiary in the second Will. Same had been challenged by filing a representation before the Police authorities in which the statement of Jarnail Kaur (mother) had also been recorded and it was mentioned that the petitioner had been given money for purchase of 5 trucks and he had sold the same and he was not looking after the deceased and it was on that account he was disinherited. It is submitted that Civil Suit has also been filed before the incident in question (Annexure P-3) and even the mutation proceedings had also been challenged in appeal (Annexure P-4) and there is no specific act of abetment, as such. It is further submitted that it would not be a case where custodial interrogation would be necessary for the purpose of investigation as no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 02.08.2021.

Mr.Sarabjit Singh, AAG, Punjab and Mr.S.P.Aulakh accept notice on behalf of the State and the complainant, respectively.

In the meantime, it is directed that the petitioner shall join investigation and in the event of arrest, he shall be released on ad-interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the investigation officer and shall comply with the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, contends that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Jagdeep Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 24.05.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

|August 02, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No