

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M-20582-2021(O&M)
Decided on : 27.05.2021**

VIJAY

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

PRESENT: Mr. Pradeep Chhoker, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana
assisted by ASI Sarika.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.345 dated 04.11.2020 under Sections 379-A and 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case in hand on the allegations of snatching a gold chain of the complainant. He submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 04.11.2020 and only charges have been framed till date hence, there is no likelihood of the trial concluding any time in the near future. He further submits that petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents as he is not involved in any other case of similar nature. It has been submitted that similarly situated co-accused has been extended the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 12.01.2021.

Per contra, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer

and submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions

from ASI Sarika, has conceded that co-accused Itwari, who has been extended the concession of bail by this Court, is similarly situated as the present petitioner.

Heard.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, I deem it a fit case for grant of the concession of regular bail to the petitioner, as the trial is unlikely to conclude in the near future, more so, in the prevailing conditions due to the outbreak of COVID-19. The petition as such is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, subject to his furnishing bonds of one local surety. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 27, 2021

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>