

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRWP-4667-2021

Date of decision: 21.05.2021

Kamaljeet Kaur and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manreet Singh Nagra, Assistant AG, Punjab.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioners-Kamaljeet Kaur and Vishnu, stated to be aged 28 & 21 years respectively, have jointly filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, by making reference to para 10 of the petition, submits that both the petitioners were un-married and this is their first marriage. He further submits that in this regard, a detailed representation dated 18.05.2021 (Annexure P-7) was submitted to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala (respondent No.2).

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Manreet Singh Nagra, Assistant AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

While refraining from making any expression as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is **disposed of** with a direction that in case, the petitioners approach the police authorities concerned, with above representation (Annexure P-7) with regard to their alleged threat perception, the same shall be got examined by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala (respondent No.2) as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law and necessary steps as may be warranted under the facts and circumstances of the case, be taken thereupon forthwith.

A copy of this order be sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala (respondent No.2) by the Registry through e-mail or any other mode, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously .

Needless to say that the aforesaid order shall not be construed to be an expression as regards the validity of the alleged marriage of the parties.

Ordered accordingly.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

21.05.2021

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No