

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****(I) CRM-M-20366-2021 (O&M)**

Gurjant Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

(II) CRM-M-20411-2021 (O&M)

Rajat Saini ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

Date of Decision: 29.10.2021**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**Present: None for the petitioner
in CRM-M-20448-2021.Mr. G.S.Dhillon, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CRM-M-22091-2021.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of the aforesaid two petitions i.e. CRM-M-20366-2021 filed on behalf of Gurjant Singh and CRM-M-20411-2021 filed on behalf of Rajat Saini, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against them vide FIR No.45 dated 25.04.2021 at Police Station Division No.1, Pathankot, under Sections 332/186/353/34 IPC.
2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Harbhajan Singh, Inspector, Punjab Roadways, wherein he has alleged that on

24.04.2021, when he was present at bus-stand Pathankot in connection with his duties, then at about 1:00 PM Gurjant Singh, Conductor and Rajat Saini, Conductor came there and started hurling abuses and also gave beatings to him.

3. Mr. G.S.Dhillon, learned counsel for the petitioner-Rajat Saini, has submitted that a false FIR has been lodged against the petitioners and that although there had been some minor differences amongst the petitioners and the complainant, as they work in the same institution and the same had already been resolved, but the complainant nursed a grudge against the petitioners and on account of which the petitioners have been falsely implicated. Learned counsel has further submitted that in any case it is a case of simple injuries and it cannot be said that the petitioners, who are also working in the same institution had prevented the complainant from discharging his official duties.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioners are specifically named in the FIR, no case for grant of bail is made out. Learned State counsel has not disputed that it is a case of causing of two simple injuries and that both the petitioners have already joined investigation and are not required for any custodial interrogation and are not even wanted in any other case.
5. In view of the aforestated position, wherein the petitioners are stated to have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation and have a clean record, both the petitions are accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide

order dated 11.06.2021 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

29.10.2021

Vimal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No