

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CRWP-4721-2021

Date of Decision : May 24, 2021

GURMUKH SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Ashish Pal Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to take action against the erring police officials of the various Districts of Punjab for illegally causing harassment to the petitioner by calling him in the various police stations of Punjab on the pretext of investigation without registration of any criminal case against him and demanding illegal gratification and further seeking protection of his life and liberty.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is only a Manager of Gurudwara Dasmesh Pita Patshahi Dashmi and the ceremonies of marriage have been performed there and the police officials of the various Districts are harassing the petitioner and have been picked up by the police of various Districts and harassed him. He further submitted that the Gurudwara Sahib where he is Manager is situated near the Punjab and Haryana High Court and the run away couples frequently visited this religious place for getting the marriage solemnized. He further

submitted that vide Annexure P-5, a representation had been given to the DGP, Punjab with a copy to the SSP, SAS Nagar, Mohali and as well as Deputy Commissioner, SAS Nagar, Mohali but no action has been taken in this regard.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

A bare perusal of the representation given vide Annexure P-5 would show that the representation is totally vague and lacks particulars. It has been stated in the representation that the petitioner has been falsely picked up by erring police officials of the various Districts of Punjab alongwith his family and harassed him on the pretext of investigation. However, neither any date and time has been stated nor it has been stated that as to which police officer had been harassing the petitioner. By just mentioning that police officials of various Districts are harassing would rather make it more vague. It cannot be expected that such a vague representation can be considered by any police authority especially when during the present serious situation of Covid-19 a pandemic where the police is exhausted in various other necessary activities. Therefore, no direction can be issued to the police to decide the representation which is ex-facie vague.

However, in case the petitioner is actually aggrieved by any action of the police officials then he is at liberty to file a specific representation, if so desired and if so advised and in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition is, hereby, dismissed.

May 24, 2021
ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No